Application Serial No.: 10/694,422

Filing Date: October 27, 2003

Our Docket: 442-197

Page 7 of 7

REMARKS

Applicants provide this Amendment in the sincere effort to place the application in

condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, Claims 1-14, 17-19, and 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112,

second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim

the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner has noted several

informalities within the claims. In response thereto, Applicants have amended the application

in order to comply with §112, second paragraph. As a result, these amendments, Applicants

respectfully submit that the rejection under §112, second paragraph should be withdrawn.

Applicants have listed dependent Claims 15, 16 and 20 as withdrawn. Since these

claims depend from Claim 1, which has been found to be generic, Applicants request that

upon allowance of Claim 1, these dependent claims be reinstated in the application.

As a result of these amendments, Applicants respectfully submit that the application is

in condition for allowance.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of Claims 1-21, and allowance of the

application with Claims 1-21. If the Examiner believes that a telephone interview would be

helpful in moving this case toward allowance, he is respectfully invited to contact Applicants

attorney at the number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

Anthony E. Bennett

Registration No.: 40,910

Attorney for Applicant(s)

HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP 6900 Jericho Turnpike Syosset, New York 11791 (516) 822-3550 AEB:dlb/jlw

201556_1